Mutations Associated with Reduced Surotomycin Susceptibility in Clostridium difficile and Enterococcus Species
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Clostridium difficile infection (CDI) is an urgent public health concern causing considerable clinical and economic burdens. CDI can be treated with antibiotics, but recurrence of the disease following successful treatment of the initial episode often occurs. Surotomycin is a rapidly bactericidal cyclic lipopeptide antibiotic that is in clinical trials for CDI treatment and that has demonstrated superiority over vancomycin in preventing CDI relapse. Surotomycin is a structural analogue of the membrane-active antibiotic daptomycin. Previously, we utilized in vitro serial passage experiments to derive C. difficile strains with reduced surotomycin susceptibilities. The parent strains used included ATCC 700507 and clinical isolates from the restriction endonuclease analysis (REA) groups BI and K. Serial passage experiments were also performed with vancomycin-resistant and vancomycin-susceptible Enterococcus faecium and Enterococcus faecalis. The goal of this study is to identify mutations associated with reduced surotomycin susceptibility in C. difficile and enterococci. Illumina sequence data generated for the parent strains and serial passage isolates were compared. We identified nonsynonymous mutations in genes coding for cardiolipin synthase in C. difficile ATCC 700507, enoyl-(acyl carrier protein) reductase II (FabK) and cell division protein FtsH2 in C. difficile REA type BI, and a PadR family transcriptional regulator in C. difficile REA type K. Among the 4 enterococcal strain pairs, 20 mutations were identified, and those mutations overlap those associated with daptomycin resistance. These data give insight into the mechanism of action of surotomycin against C. difficile, possible mechanisms for resistance emergence during clinical use, and the potential impacts of surotomycin therapy on intestinal enterococci.

Surotomycin is a rapidly bactericidal cyclic lipopeptide antibiotic that is currently in phase 3 clinical trials for CDI treatment. Surotomycin is a structural analogue of the membrane-active antibiotic daptomycin. Previously, we utilized in vitro serial passage experiments to derive C. difficile strains with reduced surotomycin susceptibilities (5). Enterococcus faecalis and Enterococcus faecium were also investigated in that study (5). Like C. difficile, enterococci colonize the human GI tract (12), are common causative agents of HAIs (13–15), and are susceptible to surotomycin (5, 16). Further, daptomycin is commonly used to treat difficult enterococcal infections, and several studies have identified genetic variations in enterococci that are associated with reduced daptomycin susceptibility (17). This makes enterococci useful comparators for surotomycin susceptibility studies. Here, we used Illumina sequencing to identify mutations associated with reduced surotomycin susceptibility in C. difficile, E. faecalis, and E. faecium. These data give insight
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into the mechanism of action of surotomycin against *C. difficile*, possible mechanisms for resistance emergence during clinical use, and the potential impacts of surotomycin therapy on GI tract enterococci.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacterial strains.** Bacterial strains used in this study are shown in Table 1, and their derivation was previously described (5). Parent strains were used as inocula for *in vitro* serial passage experiments with surotomycin. Pass strains are isolates obtained by colony purification from day 15 of serial passage experiments. Pass strains have decreased susceptibility to surotomycin relative to the parent strains. Prior to genome sequencing, pass strains were passaged three times in drug-free medium and retested for surotomycin susceptibility to ensure stability of the surotomycin MIC.

**Enterococcal gDNA isolation.** Enterococcal strains were cultured on brain heart infusion (BHI) agar at 37°C, and isolated colonies were used to inoculate BHI broth. Genomic DNA (gDNA) was isolated from overnight cultures using a modified version of a previously published protocol (18). Cells were washed once with Tris-EDTA (TE) buffer (pH 8.0; 10 mM Tris–HCl, 1 mM EDTA) and resuspended in 180 μl of a 10-mg/ml lysozyme stock, 420 μl of phosphate-buffered saline and incubated at 37°C for 30 min, and then 30 μl 10% (wt/vol) SDS was added. Solutions were incubated at 37°C for an additional 30 min. An equal volume of TE-saturated phenol-chloroform–isoamyl alcohol (Sigma) was added, tubes were mixed by inversion, and aqueous and organic layers were separated by centrifugation. The aqueous fraction was extracted again and then extracted once with chloroform alone. The gDNA was ethanol precipitated and the pellet resuspended in 100 μl of gDNA rehydration solution. Prior to Illumina sequencing, *C. difficile* gDNA samples were treated with RNase A as described above and then treated with proteinase K and column purified using the Qiagen DNeasy Blood and Tissue kit per the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Illumina genome sequencing.** Illumina library preparation and sequencing were performed at the Tufts University DNA Core Facility. All gDNA samples were treated as low-abundance samples, and libraries were prepared using the NuGen Ovation Ultralow DR Multiplex System 1-96 kit. The average library fragment size was ~390 bp, with high variability in fragment sizes due to low DNA inputs. Libraries were multiplexed and sequenced using the Illumina HiSeq 2500, yielding paired reads of 151 ± 2 bases. Single-end (SE) reads were used for analysis.

**Mutation detection in pass genomes.** SE reads from parent strains were assembled into draft contigs using CLC Genomics Workbench default parameters. Contigs were annotated using the Rapid Annotation using Subsystem Technology (RAST) server with default parameters (ras.tn1000.org) (19, 20). Draft genome assemblies are summarized in Table S1 in the supplemental material. SE reads from pass strains were mapped to RAST-annotated parent assemblies using CLC Genomics Workbench with default mapping parameters. Sequence variations were identified using the “Quality-based variant detection” tool in CLC Genomics Workbench with default parameters (≥10-fold coverage of the reference position and sequence variation in ≥35% of mapped reads). All variations were manually analyzed. All variations that fell within two read lengths (300 bp) from a contig end were removed from consideration. Next, the variant frequency was considered. All sequence variations occurring with a frequency of ≥90% (occurring in ≥90% of mapped reads) were confirmed to be true mutations by additional PCR and Sanger sequencing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacterium and strain</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>MIC (μg/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SUR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C. difficile</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cdi2179 (ATCC 700057)</td>
<td>Quality control strain for MIC testing</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cdi2179 pass</td>
<td>Day 15 serial passage isolate</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cdi2989</td>
<td>REA type BI isolate from phase 2 clinical trial</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cdi2989 pass</td>
<td>Day 15 serial passage isolate</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cdi2994</td>
<td>REA type K isolate from phase 2 clinical trial</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cdi2994 pass</td>
<td>Day 15 serial passage isolate</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>E. faecalis</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efs201 (ATCC 49452)</td>
<td>VSE</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efs201 pass</td>
<td>Day 15 serial passage isolate</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efs807 (ATCC 700802)</td>
<td>VRE</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efs807 pass</td>
<td>Day 15 serial passage isolate</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>E. faecium</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efm14 (ATCC 6569)</td>
<td>VSE</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efm14 pass</td>
<td>Day 15 serial passage isolate</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efm277 (ATCC 51559)</td>
<td>VRE</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efm277 pass</td>
<td>Day 15 serial passage isolate</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** SUR, surotomycin; VAN, vancomycin; DAP, daptomycin; FDX, fidaxomicin; MET, metronidazole; VSE, vancomycin-sensitive Enterococcus; VRE, vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus; NT, not tested.

Alternate strain names are shown in parentheses. Pass strains were generated by Mascio et al. (5).

**Efs807 pass Day 15 serial passage isolate 4**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>MIC (μg/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Efs807 (ATCC 700802) VRE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efs201 pass Day 15 serial passage isolate 2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cdi2994 pass Day 15 serial passage isolate 8</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cdi2179 (ATCC 700057) Quality control strain for MIC testing</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efm277 (ATCC 51559) VRE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&gt;64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FDX** fidaxomicin

**MET** metronidazole

**SUR** surotomycin

**VAN** vancomycin

**DAP** daptomycin

**VSE** vancomycin-sensitive Enterococcus

**VRE** vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus

**NT** not tested

**Surotomycin MICs of parent and pass strains are shown in Table 1.**

[A table showing bacterial strains and surotomycin MICs](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5548532/) is available for further analysis.
to analyze the ATCC were similarly used as parents for serial passage experiments. PCR and additional Sanger sequencing were performed. In all instances, either these were found to not be true sequence variations or sequence heterogeneity occurred in both parent and pass strains (data not shown). Finally, the “Find low coverage” tool in CLC Genomics Workbench was used to identify regions of zero coverage in pass strain read mappings, which represent possible large deletions. These regions were manually inspected, and PCR and additional Sanger sequencing were performed where appropriate.

Analysis of Efs807 sequence reads. A complete reference sequence is available for Efs807 (V583) (21). Single-end reads from the Efs807 parent and pass samples were mapped to the Efs807 chromosome (GenBank accession number AE016830) and three plasmids (pTEF1, GenBank accession number AE016833; pTEF2, GenBank accession number AE016831; and pTEF3, GenBank accession number AE016832) using CLC Genomics Workbench with default mapping parameters. Read assemblies were summarized in Table S2 in the supplemental material. Sequence variations were identified using the “Quality-based variant detection” tool in CLC Genomics Workbench with default parameters as described above. Variants occurring in nonspecific regions of the read assemblies (rRNA operons, insertion sequences, etc.) were ignored. Previously described sequence variations occurring in the Efs807 parent strain relative to the GenBank reference (22) were removed from analysis.

Confirmation of mutations. Primers were designed to amplify genomic regions that included putative mutations. Primers used are shown in Table S3 in the supplemental material. gDNA was used as the template for a 50-μl PCR volume with Phusion polymerase (Fermentas). Reactions were performed for parent and pass strains to confirm absence of variation in the parent strains. PCR products were purified with the Qiaquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen) and sequenced at the Massachusetts General Hospital DNA Core Facility (Boston, MA).

Bioinformatic analyses of candidate genes. Transmembrane helices were predicted by TMHMM, version 2.0 (23). Subcellular location was predicted by PSortb, version 3.0 (24). RNA secondary structure prediction was from RNAfold (25). Pfam 27.0 (26) and NCBI Conserved Domains were used for analysis of conserved protein domains and for substrate-binding and catalytic sites. C. difficile 630 (27, 28), E. faecalis V583 (21, 29), and E. faecium DO (30) are commonly used model strains, and we identified homologues of our genes of interest in those strains using NCBI BLAST (Table 2; see also Data Set S1 in the supplemental material). For consistency with existing literature on C. difficile 630, in the text we express loci identifiers in the "CD##" format.

Nucleotide sequence accession numbers. Sequence reads generated in this study have been deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive, and the accession numbers can be found via BioProject record number PRJNA281633.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Strains used for Illumina sequencing analysis. In a previous study, C. difficile, E. faecalis, and E. faecium strains were serially passaged in broth in the presence of surotomycin for a period of 15 days (5). The protocol for and results of the serial passage experiments were previously reported (5). C. difficile strains used in passage experiments included an American Type Culture Collection quality control strain (ATCC 700057; referred to as Cdi2179 in this study) and two clinical isolates obtained during the phase 2 dose-ranging study (LCD-DR-09-03) (9). One clinical isolate (Cdi2989) is from the restriction endonuclease analysis (REA) type B1, representing the epidemic C. difficile strain (1, 3), and the other (Cdi2994) is REA type K. Vancomycin-resistant and vancomycin-susceptible E. faecalis and E. faecium strains obtained from the ATCC were similarly used as parents for serial passage (Table 1). For genome sequencing, a single colony isolate obtained from each serial passage culture at day 15 was passaged three times in drug-free medium and then retested for surotomycin MIC to confirm stable reduced susceptibility to the drug. We refer to these strains as pass strains (Table 1). Note that strains with other genotypes may have coexisted in the day 15 serial passage cultures (i.e., representing other mutational paths to reduced surotomycin susceptibility). Therefore, our selection of only a single isolate from each serial passage experiment for genome sequencing is a possible limitation of our study.

MIC data for parent and pass strains are shown in Table 1. Susceptibility to ampicillin and rifampin was not affected in pass strains (data not shown). For all strain pairs, pass strains have elevated surotomycin and daptomycin MICs relative to their parents.

To identify mutations occurring in pass strains, we generated Illumina sequence data from genomic DNA isolated from parent and pass strains. Draft genome assemblies were generated for the parent strains (see Table S1 in the supplemental material), and sequence reads obtained for pass strains were mapped to the draft parent assemblies to identify sequence variations. Because a complete reference genome is available for the vancomycin-resistant E. faecalis strain Efs807 (also called V583) (21, 29), Efs807 parent and pass strain read assemblies were mapped to the existing GenBank reference sequence (see Table S2 in the supplemental material). For all genome comparisons, candidate mutations identified from read assemblies were confirmed to be true mutations by independent Sanger sequencing. Data are summarized in Table 2 (see also Data Set S1A in the supplemental material), and gene and protein sequences of interest are in Data Set S2 in the supplemental material. To facilitate comparisons with the laboratory model strains C. difficile 630 (27, 28), E. faecalis V583 (21, 29), and E. faecium DO (30), NCBI BLAST was used to identify orthologues of genes of interest in those strains (Table 2; see also Data Set S1A and B in the supplemental material).

Mutations associated with reduced surotomycin susceptibility in C. difficile. Mutations in clg (encoding cardiolipin synthase), fabK (encoding enoyl-ACP reductase II, where ACP is acyl carrier protein), ftsH2 (encoding ATP-dependent membrane-bound metalloprotease), and a gene encoding a PadR family transcriptional regulator were detected among the C. difficile surotomycin pass strains (Table 2).

Cdi2179 pass. The Cdi2179 pass strain has a surotomycin MIC of 8 μg/ml, a 16-fold increase over that of the parent (Table 1). A G-to-A transition was detected in a gene coding for a predicted cardiolipin synthase (Cls; TIGR04265 bac_cardiolipin family; E value, 2.72E^-117). This mutation results in a D79N substitution in Cls gene. Cls proteins synthesize cardiolipin (bispaphatidylglycerol), a phospholipid that is enriched in septal and polar regions of Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacterial cells (31–33). As expected for a Cls protein, two catalytic HKD (phospho- lipase D) motifs are present in the Cdi2179 Cls, as are two predicted N-terminal transmembrane helices (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). The D79N substitution in the Cdi2179 pass strain Cls occurs outside transmembrane helix 2, between the helix and HKD motif 1. This substitution may result in altered synthesis and/or localization of cardiolipin in the C. difficile membrane. No C. difficile strains currently deposited in GenBank possess the D79N substitution in Cls.

Mutations in clg have been detected in daptomycin-resistant E.
**TABLE 2 Mutations occurring in surotomycin serial passage strains**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacterium and strain</th>
<th>Description of gene</th>
<th>Nucleotide variation in gene (frequency of variation in assembly)</th>
<th>Amino acid change in predicted protein</th>
<th>Best BLASTP hit in reference genomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>C. difficile</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cdi2179 pass</td>
<td>Cardiolipin synthase</td>
<td>G235A (599/603)</td>
<td>D79N</td>
<td>CD3404 Cls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cdi2989 pass</td>
<td>Enoyl-ACP reductase</td>
<td>G434T (849/850)</td>
<td>G145V</td>
<td>CD1180 FabK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cdi2994 pass</td>
<td>Cell division protein FtsH</td>
<td>G1387T (868/868)</td>
<td>E463Stop</td>
<td>CD3559 FtsH2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transcriptional regulator PadR</td>
<td>G177T (102/102)</td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td>CD1345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>E. faecium</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efm14 pass</td>
<td>HD family hydrolase</td>
<td>29–39del</td>
<td>E10fs</td>
<td>HMPREF0351_11908; EF2470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aminopeptidase S</td>
<td>C1065A (826/828)</td>
<td>F355L</td>
<td>HMPREF0351_10886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATP-dependent nuclease subunit A</td>
<td>C2283G (776/777)</td>
<td>H761Q</td>
<td>HMPREF0351_11280 AddA; EF1113 RexA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alpha/beta family hydrolase</td>
<td>C582T (788/789)</td>
<td>Silent</td>
<td>HMPREF0351_11299; EF1536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hypothetical protein</td>
<td>A165G (828/830)</td>
<td>Silent</td>
<td>HMPREF0351_11264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intergenic region between two glycosyltransferase genes</td>
<td>C → G (631/631)</td>
<td>NA^d</td>
<td>HMPREF0351_10908-HMPREF0351_10909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intergenic region upstream of catabolite control protein A</td>
<td>T → A (443/444)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>HMPREF0351_12002 CcpA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efm277 pass</td>
<td>Cardiolipin synthase</td>
<td>G623T (345/350)</td>
<td>R211L</td>
<td>HMPREF0351_11068 Cls; EF0631 Cls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HD family hydrolase</td>
<td>1596delA (132/136)</td>
<td>A53fs</td>
<td>HMPREF0351_11908; EF2470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ribosomal large subunit methyltransferase A</td>
<td>G801T (160/160)</td>
<td>K267N</td>
<td>HMPREF0351_12412 RrmA; EF2666 RmA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RNA polymerase, beta subunit</td>
<td>T2789G (661/670)</td>
<td>V930G</td>
<td>HMPREF0351_12666 RpoB; EF3238 RpoB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lead, cadmium, zinc, mercury transporting ATPase</td>
<td>C552G (199/203)</td>
<td>F184L</td>
<td>HMPREF0351_11880 CopB; EF0875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sucrose-6-phosphate hydrolase</td>
<td>C700A (260/273)</td>
<td>P234T</td>
<td>Not present in <em>E. faecium</em> DO; EFA0069 ScrB-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intergenic region upstream of putative phage repressor</td>
<td>GA → AG (105/105)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Not present in <em>E. faecium</em> DO; Efm408 EFUG_02666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>E. faecalis</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efs201 pass</td>
<td>Sensor histidine kinase LiaS</td>
<td>G330A (1115/1117)</td>
<td>M110I</td>
<td>EF2912^c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe-S cluster binding subunit</td>
<td>G509T (849/868)</td>
<td>G170V</td>
<td>EF1109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efs807 pass</td>
<td>EF0797 hypothetical protein</td>
<td>T155C (715/718)</td>
<td>L52P</td>
<td>EF0797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EF1027 MprF2</td>
<td>G85A (485/486)</td>
<td>A29T</td>
<td>EF1027 MprF2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EF1079 hypothetical protein</td>
<td>449delA (565/608)</td>
<td>N150fs</td>
<td>EF1079 DrmA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(DrmA) Intergenic region downstream of EF1367</td>
<td>31-bp del</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>EF1367</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a Boldface indicates that the gene or pathway has been associated with daptomycin resistance in Enterococcus faecalis, Enterococcus faecium, and/or Staphylococcus aureus. See the text and Data Set S1A in the supplemental material for extended analysis.

^b Shown in parentheses are raw sequence variation data from pass strain read assemblies, indicating the number of mapped reads with indicated sequence variation/total coverage at that position. del, deletion.

^c The Efs201 LiaS protein is N-terminally truncated relative to the V583 LiaS protein. See Data Set S2 in the supplemental material for the Efs201 LiaS sequence.

^d NA, not applicable.

**faecalis, E. faecium, and S. aureus strains** (22, 34–39; see also a summary in Data Set S1A in the supplemental material). The fact that a *cls* mutation arose in Cdi2179 during surotomycin exposure suggests that commonalities exist in the way the two drugs interact with cell surfaces and/or in the ways that cells cope with stresses imposed by these drugs.

**Cdi2989 pass.** The Cdi2989 pass strain has a surotomycin MIC of 8 μg/ml, a 16-fold increase over that of the parent. The Cdi2989 pass strain possesses two G-to-T transversions. The first occurs in a gene coding for a predicted enoyl-ACP reductase II (TIGR03151 enACPred_II family; E value, 1.3e−142), resulting in a G145V substitution in the protein. The second is a nonsense mutation occurring in a gene coding for the membrane-bound metalloprotease FtsH (TIGR01241 FtsH_fam; E value, 0).

The enoyl-ACP reductase proteins FabK and FabI catalyze the final step in the bacterial type II fatty acid elongation cycle (40, 41). FabI is highly conserved among many bacteria; however, some bacteria lack FabI and instead possess FabK (42, 43). FabK and FabI are distinct in sequence (41), and the enoyl-ACP reductase identified in the Cdi2989 pass strain belongs to the FabK family. *C. difficile* does not possess *fabI* (see Data Set S1B in the supplemental material); therefore, *fabK* is likely to be an essential gene in *C. difficile*. The *fabK* gene is significantly upregulated (4-fold) in *C. difficile* 630 during growth with subinhibitory amoxicillin (44).

FabK utilizes flavin mononucleotide (FMN) as a cofactor and NADH as an electron donor (42) and is similar in sequence to members of the 2-nitropropane dioxygenase-like enzyme family (41). Using the 2-nitropropane dioxygenase-like proteins as references, we used conserved domain analysis to map FMN-binding residues and a catalytic site to the Cdi2989 FabK sequence (see Fig.
S1 in the supplemental material). The G145V substitution in the Cdi2989 pass strain occurs near the putative catalytic site of the enzyme (at position H143). The G145V substitution could alter FabK activity and membrane fatty acid composition in the Cdi2989 pass strain.

The second mutation in the Cdi2989 pass strain occurs in a gene annotated as ftsH2 in C. difficile 630 (Table 2; see also Data Set S1A in the supplemental material). The ftsH2 gene was reported to be significantly upregulated (1.5-fold) in C. difficile 630 cells during growth with subinhibitory levels of either amoxicillin or metronidazole (44). FtsH is essential for Escherichia coli, in which it is important for turnover of misfolded membrane proteins, among other functions (45). Less is known about its function in Gram-positive bacteria. In Bacillus subtilis and Lactobacillus plantarum, ftsH is nonessential, but inactivation has a number of effects on the cell, including a reduced ability to cope with extracellular stresses (46, 47) and filamentous growth and a sporulation defect in B. subtilis (47). In B. subtilis, deletion of ftsH leads to induction of the $\sigma^+$ regulon, which is involved in extracytoplasmic stress response (48).

For Cdi2989 FtsH2, two N-terminal transmembrane helices, an AAA domain (ATPase family associated with various cellular activities; E value, 1.5e$^{-42}$), and a peptidase domain (peptidase_M41; E value, 5.7e$^{-77}$) are predicted (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). The protease active-site motif HEXXH (45) is present. The ftsH2 mutation in the Cdi2989 pass strain generates a premature stop codon and a 194-amino-acid C-terminal truncation of the FtsH2 protein. The premature stop codon occurs within the peptidase domain of FtsH2, after the HEXXH motif. This inactivating mutation could lead to pleiotropic effects on C. difficile.

Three observations link FtsH to mechanisms associated with reduced daptomycin (and now, surotomycin) susceptibility. First, FtsH is localized to the division septum in B. subtilis (49). Second, YvlB is among the proteins produced in elevated amounts in a B. subtilis ftsH mutant (48); frameshift mutations in the yvlB homologues in E. faecalis, EF1753, are present in daptomycin-resistant E. faecalis strains (22, 35). Finally, L. plantarum ftsH mutant and overexpression strains have an altered surface charge relative to that of the wild type (46). All of these observations suggest that FtsH has roles in division septum architecture, surface charge modulation, and/or phospholipid metabolism in Gram-positive bacteria.

Since the Cdi2989 parent strain was a clinical isolate (5), it is possible that mutations identified in the Cdi2989 pass strain are actually reversion mutations that occurred as a result of in vitro growth (i.e., they could be unrelated to surotomycin exposure). The fabK mutation in the Cdi2989 pass strain is unlikely to be a reversion, since C. difficile strains currently deposited in GenBank, like the Cdi2989 parent strain, possess G145 in orthologues of FabK. Similarly, truncated FtsH2 proteins are not encoded by C. difficile GenBank strains.

Cdi2994 pass. The Cdi2994 pass strain has a surotomycin MIC of 4 µg/ml, an 8-fold increase over that of the parent. The Cdi2994 pass strain possesses a G-to-T transversion in a gene coding for a predicted PadR family transcriptional regulator (Pfam E value, 2.1e$^{-23}$), resulting in an R61 substitution in the protein. To distinguish this protein from the three other PadR family transcriptional regulators encoded by C. difficile, we have named this protein SurR. The mutation in surR does not appear to be a reversion, since C. difficile strains currently deposited in GenBank possess R6 in orthologues of SurR.

PadR-like transcriptional regulators are widespread in bacteria, but few have been experimentally characterized (reviewed by Fibriansah et al. [50]). These proteins possess an N-terminal winged helix-turn-helix (wHTH) DNA binding domain and a C-terminal domain of variable size that participates in dimerization. A model PadR family transcriptional regulator is Lactococcus lactis LmrR (51). LmrR represses expression of lmrCD genes, which are cotranscribed with lmrR (52, 53) and encode an ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transport system that confers multidrug resistance (52–54). Mutations in lmrR can lead to constitutive lmrCD expression and multidrug resistance (54). Crystal structures are available for LmrR (51) and for two Bacillus cereus PadR-like regulators, which also regulate proximally borne genes with likely roles in drug transport (50). Cdi2994 SurR is shown in Fig. S1 in the supplemental material with the predicted wHTH DNA binding domain mapped. The R61 substitution in the Cdi2994 pass strain occurs just within the wHTH domain. This position was identified as being important for protein dimerization in B. cereus PadR-like regulators (50). The R61 substitution in SurR may lead to derepression of genes in the SurR regulon.

Based on bioinformatic analysis, we hypothesize that SurR regulates the expression of itself and two downstream genes, as well as a predicted diacylglycerol glucosyltransferase gene that is divergently transcribed from surR. The organization of this region is identical in Cdi2994 and C. difficile 630. In C. difficile 630, CD1345 encodes SurR, CD1346 encodes a predicted membrane protein possessing three central transmembrane helices (RhaT family; E value, 2.04e$^{-3}$), and CD1347 encodes a predicted membrane protein with a single N-terminal transmembrane helix. No intergenic regions are present between these genes, suggesting that they are cotranscribed. The surR gene is divergently transcribed from CD1344, which encodes a predicted diacylglycerol glucosyltransferase (PRK13609; E value, 9.67e$^{-49}$). Because C. difficile 630 produces a number of glycolipids (55), this provides a potential link between SurR and membrane structure and biogenesis. Global gene expression comparisons between the Cdi2994 parent and pass strains as well as specific investigation of the CD1344 gene would be of interest for future studies.

Enterococci with reduced surotomycin susceptibilities. Each of the four enterococcal surotomycin pass strains possesses a mutation in at least one gene previously associated with daptomycin resistance (Table 2; see also Data Set S1A in the supplemental material). The locations of amino acid substitutions in enterococcal proteins are shown in Fig. S2 to S5 in the supplemental material. Because Efs807 (V583) has been used previously for the selection of daptomycin-resistant derivatives (22), we discuss this model strain in depth.

Efs807 pass. The Efs807 pass strain has a surotomycin MIC of 4 µg/ml, a 4-fold increase over that of the parent. Three nonsynonymous mutations and a 31-bp deletion in an intergenic region were identified for this strain. Mutations occur in mprF2 and dmaA (EF1797), each of which has been associated with daptomycin resistance (22, 35, 37, 56) (see Data Set S1A in the supplemental material).

EF1797 encodes a predicted membrane protein for which a biochemical function is unknown. In previous work (22), three daptomycin-resistant derivatives of Efs807 were generated by serial passage, and each was found to possess mutations in EF1797.
The Efs807 surotomycin pass strain possesses a predicted frameshift (N150fs) in EF1797 (Table 2). An N150fs was recently reported for an *E. faecalis* daptomycin-adapted strain (35). Collectively, mutations identified in EF1797 from daptomycin and surotomycin studies localize a region of interest in the protein: the QKKNKL sequence occurring between the predicted transmembrane helices 4 and 5 (see Fig. S2 in the supplemental material).

As a result of the frameshift mutation in the Efs807 surotomycin pass strain, the amino acid sequence occurring between predicted TM helices 4 and 5 is QKIKTF. EF1797 has a limited phylogenetic distribution (22) and does not occur in *C. difficile* (see Data Set S1A in the supplemental material).

MprF in *S. aureus* catalyzes the addition of lysine to phosphatidyglycerol moieties, thereby mitigating the negative charge of the outer surface of the cytoplasmic membrane (57). Mutations in mprF are associated with daptomycin resistance emergence in *S. aureus* (37, 56, 58), are sufficient for daptomycin resistance (59), and confer gain of function (59, 60). Enterococcal MprF2 has an expanded substrate range compared to *S. aureus* MprF, producing lysine-, alanine-, and arginine-modified phosphatidyglycerol moieties (61, 62). The A29T substitution in the Efs807 pass strain occurs within the first predicted transmembrane helix of MprF2 (see Fig. S2 in the supplemental material). In *S. aureus*, the N-terminal transmembrane helices of MprF are required for translocalization of the modified lipid from the inner to outer membrane leaflet (63). The A29T substitution in *E. faecalis* MprF2 could impact phospholipid flipping. MprF is not encoded by *C. difficile* (see Data Set S1A in the supplemental material).

The other two mutations occurring in the Efs807 pass strain are in the EF0797 open reading frame (ORF) and in the intergenic region downstream of the EF1367 ORF. EF0797 encodes a predicted hypothetical protein in *E. faecalis* V583. Conserved domain analysis did not yield clues to its function. Analysis with PsortB failed to reveal a predicted cellular location for the EF0797 protein; however, one N-terminal transmembrane helix is predicted by TMHMM (see Fig. S2 in the supplemental material). The amino acid substitution (L52P) in the Efs807 pass strain occurs in a predicted extracellular region. The EF0797 orthologue in *E. faecalis* OG1RF is upregulated in cells treated with 1.25× MIC levels of the antibiotics ampicillin, bacitracin, cephalothin, or vancomycin, and deletion of EF0797 led to an increase in virulence in a *Galleria mellonella* infection model (64). EF0797 may be involved in stress response to cell wall-active antimicrobials. EF0797 is not encoded by *C. difficile* (see Data Set S1A in the supplemental material).

A 31-bp deletion occurs in the Efs807 pass genome, downstream of the EF1367 gene (Fig. 1). EF1367 encodes a cold shock domain–containing protein (65). RNAfold analysis of the deleted 31-bp sequence revealed that the sequence is likely a Rho-independent terminator for EF1367. Deletion of the EF1367 transcription terminator may result in increased expression of the downstream genes EF1366 and EF1365, which are likely cotranscribed (16-bp intergenic region between the two genes). The EF1366 protein possesses six predicted transmembrane helices and a C-terminal YdcF-like domain (E value, 8.86e−40). Conserved domain analysis indicates that this protein may be involved in cell wall synthesis. The EF1365 protein possesses eight predicted transmembrane helices, and its function is unknown.

**Summary and implications.** Surotomycin, like daptomycin, dissipates the membrane potential of *S. aureus* and *C. difficile* (6, 7). A recently proposed model for daptomycin’s mechanism of action (66) posits that at sub-MIC levels and in the presence of phosphatidyglycerol and calcium, daptomycin inserts into the outer leaflet of the cytoplasmic membrane and aggregates. This process alters the local curvature of the outer leaflet, to which the cell responds by making compensatory changes in the inner leaflet, potentially including increased cardiolipin content. Cell division proteins and other proteins responsive to membrane ultrastructure changes are recruited to these sites, resulting in aberrant septation. At supra-MIC daptomycin levels, many of these sites are generated, and the cell is not able to mitigate the stress imposed on the membrane. This leads to dissipation of the membrane potential, among other deleterious effects, and ultimately cell death. Additional mechanistic experiments with surotomycin and *C. difficile* will be required to determine whether surotomycin has similar phospholipid dependencies and impacts on membrane ultrastructure.

Daptomycin resistance is an active area of research. Most studies have focused on *S. aureus*, *B. subtilis*, *E. faecium*, and *E. faecalis*. Many different adaptive paths to resistance have been discovered for these species, and a few mechanistic models for resistance have been proposed and are well supported by experimental data (reviewed recently by Humphries et al. [17]). Collectively, many of these data indicate that modulation of membrane phospholipid content and localization (especially relative to the division septum and other sites of membrane curvature), cell surface charge, and the transcriptional networks responding to cell surface stress are each involved in daptomycin resistance. For enterococci, a recently proposed model by Tran et al. (67) centers on the LiaFSR signal transduction system and its regulon. In *B. subtilis*, this system perceives stress imposed by cell wall-active antimicrobials, resulting in differential expression of genes in the LiaR regulon (68–70). Mutations in liaFSR genes are frequently detected in daptomycin-resistant enterococci (34, 35, 71, 72), and the LiaFSR system is activated and protective of *B. subtilis* in the presence of daptomycin (73). In the study by Tran et al., a liaF mutation in *E. faecalis* led to redistribution of cardiolipin and diversion of daptomycin away from the division septum, resulting in low-level daptomycin resistance (67). The underlying mechanism for this is likely dysregulation of as-yet-unknown genes in the LiaR regulon of *E. faecalis*. Additional mutations in *cls* and *gdpD* (encoding glycero phosphoryl diester phosphodiesterase) further increased the daptomycin MIC and altered the membrane phospholipid content of the cell (67). In this study, we detected a liaS mutation in an *E. faecalis* strain with reduced surotomycin susceptibility (Table 2).
C. difficile possesses unique attributes that distinguish it from the species described above. First, the membrane structure of C. difficile appears to be distinct. C. difficile 630, like other clostridia (74), possesses membrane phospholipids with plasmalogens (having an ether, instead of ester, linkage at the sn-1 position of glycerol) fatty acid tails (55). C. difficile 630 produces phosphatidylglycerol, cardiolipin, and monohexosyldiacylglycerol lipids of both plasmalogens and di- or tetra-acyl forms (55). The relative ratios of these lipids are likely to impact C. difficile membrane fluidity, and the ratios may change in response to fluctuations in growth environment or in times of stress (55). Whether these lipids are differentially distributed in the C. difficile membrane is unknown. The genes required for clostridial plasmalogen biosynthesis have not been identified (74). Overall, the literature on C. difficile membrane structure and composition is very limited (55, 75). While relevant for our surotomycin discussion, this also highlights a critical gap in knowledge about C. difficile physiology that is relevant to future drug development.

Other attributes that distinguish C. difficile from daptomycin resistance model species are that C. difficile lacks MprF and LiaF (see Data Set S1B in the supplemental material). The absence of MprF suggests that C. difficile does not produce amino acid-modified phospholipids, although this cannot be formally excluded using existing literature. Of note, an unusual amino-containing glycolipid has been identified in a study involving C. difficile 630, which the authors suggested could counterbalance the negative charges of phosphatidylglycerol and cardiolipin (55). The absence of LiaF suggests that information on cell surface stress in C. difficile is transduced to the transcriptional machinery of the cell by a distinct mechanism. Proteins with identity to LiaSR, VraSR, and YycFG, each of interest for daptomycin resistance in other species (17), were identified in C. difficile 630 (see Data Set S1B in the supplemental material) but were not altered in the surotomycin passage strains studied here.

For each of the three C. difficile strain pairs studied here, mutations arose in genes with probable or potential roles in C. difficile membrane structure and biogenesis (cls, fabK, and surR). Alleric replacement studies will ultimately be required to confirm whether the mutations detected are responsible for surotomycin MIC increases.

For the enterococci studied here, each surotomycin pass strain possessed at least one mutation that also occurs in daptomycin-resistant strains (Table 2), and the pass strains have elevated daptomycin MICs (Table 1). This suggests that enterococcal strains with elevated daptomycin MICs could be cross-resistant to surotomycin, allowing for their outgrowth in the GI tract during surotomycin therapy for CDI. Alternatively, surotomycin therapy for CDI could select for daptomycin resistance-conferring mutations in GI tract enterococci. These possibilities should be evaluated with further in vitro and in vivo studies. We note also that isolates with high (128- to 256-fold) increases in daptomycin MICs emerged in daptomycin serial passage experiments with the vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus (VRE) species strain EF6807 (22). Here, a relatively modest (4-fold) increase in surotomycin MIC was observed for the same parent strain over a similar period of passage. These differing results may be related to the oxygen status of E. faecalis in the serial passage experiments. Surotomycin passage experiments were performed under anaerobic conditions (5), while daptomycin passage experiments were performed under oxygenated conditions (22). Interestingly, a recent metabolic study found that E. faecalis membrane fatty acid content varies with oxygen status (76). Further investigation of enterococcal membrane physiology under various oxygen tensions could be informative for surotomycin and daptomycin studies.
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