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In this work, the authors used density-functional theory methods and x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy to study the chemical composition and growth rate of HfO2, Al2O3, and TiO2 thin films grown by in-situ atomic layer deposition on both oxidized and hydrogen-terminated Si(001) surfaces. The growth rate of all films is found to be lower on hydrogen-terminated Si with respect to the oxidized Si surface. However, the degree of selectivity is found to be dependent of the deposition material. TiO2 is found to be highly selective with depositions on the hydrogen terminated silicon having growth rates up to 180 times lower than those on oxidized Si, while similar depositions of HfO2 and Al2O3 resulted in growth rates more than half that on oxidized silicon. By means of density-functional theory methods, the authors elucidate the origin of the different growth rates obtained for the three different precursors, from both energetic and kinetic points of view. © 2014 American Vacuum Society. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1116/1.4864619]

I. INTRODUCTION

For more than two decades, the microelectronic industry has been dominated by an intense research toward an ever diminishing device feature size.1,2 The industry has been using SiO2 as the standard gate dielectric layer; however, diminishing device feature size.1,2 The industry has been dominated by an intense research toward an ever diminishing device feature size.1,2 The industry has been dominated by an intense research toward an ever diminishing device feature size.1,2 The industry has been dominated by an intense research toward an ever diminishing device feature size.1,2 The industry has been dominated by an intense research toward an ever diminishing device feature size.1,2 The industry has been dominated by an intense research toward an ever diminishing device feature size.1,2 The industry has been dominated by an intense research toward an ever diminishing device feature size.1,2 Therefore, two of the most critical issues are then the search for high-κ replacement dielectric materials for SiO2 and the development of deposition processes that will afford the control of film growth according to the particular needs. Atomic layer deposition (ALD) is a deposition technique based on alternating surface reactions that saturate the surface in each reaction cycle. It has recently received much attention due to its ability to obtain atomic layer control of growth.4

Understanding the nucleation of thin films deposited by ALD is important for many applications. In the case of metal oxide depositions on semiconducting substrates for use as gate insulators, the goal is generally to achieve high purity and conformal layers of a predictable thickness on a range of surface terminations. For this purpose, a number of studies have been carried out demonstrating the diverse nucleation properties of a range of metal oxide precursors on both hydrogen terminated and oxidized Si surfaces.5–8 It has been previously shown using high-resolution transmission electron microscopy that Al2O3 deposits uniformly on hydrogen terminated surfaces when TMA [trimethylaluminum, Al(CH3)3] and H2O precursors5 are used. However, deposition of ZrO2 (Ref. 6) and HfO2 (Ref. 8) using ZrCl4 and HfCl4 precursors resulted in island growth, indicating reduced reactivity with the hydrogen terminated surface. In two independent comparative studies of HfO2 grown by ALD on both HF-last silicon and SiO2 using the HfCl4 precursor, which has an incubation period of about five cycles before growth begins on the hydrogen terminated Si surface,8 it was shown that the growth rate does not become linear until after 30 cycles.7 ALD can also be used for the deposition of metal contacts, and for such applications, a high level of selectivity can be useful to simplify patterning and allow deposition on in the desired areas. Using a Ni(dnamb)2 precursor and NH3 gas, Ni has been shown to deposit equally well on both Si and SiO2. Area selective ALD (AS-ALD) could still be demonstrated by using a octadecyltrichlorosilane self-assembled monolayers to effectively prevent the Ni growth on selected areas.9 This technique has been employed early to selectively deposit Co by AS-ALD on Si substrates,10 after it had been shown to be effective for depositing patterned Pt for fuel cell applications.11 In contrast to the metal oxide precursors which are generally found selectivity grow on SiO2 and OH-terminated Si more than on hydrogen-terminated Si, Co depositions using the 1Bu-AllylCo(CO)3 and dimethylhydrazine precursors was found to deposit preferentially on hydrogen-terminated Si with no detectable deposition on the OH-terminated Si surface.

The different aspects of the ALD reaction mechanisms and the thermochemistry of metal-oxide ALD growth on semicon-ductor surfaces have also been the focus of numerous theoretical investigations. Density-functional theory (DFT) has proven to be a useful tool to describe the early stages of molecular growth in semiconductor surfaces. In the particular case of...
ALD reactions, there are several studies available in the literature, especially for Al₂O₃ (Refs. 12–17) and TiO₂ (Refs. 18–28) deposition on the Si(001) with different chemistry terminations, reporting the energetics and kinetic barriers of the different precursors for the metal-oxide ALD growth on the corresponding semiconductor surface. Most of them use cluster models of variable sizes to represent the surface, with the inherent limitations to this type of modeling work. Although there are few theoretical works about the activity of Hf precursors,²⁹–³² to our knowledge, no theoretical calculations of the kinetics of the deposition of the Hf molecular precursor used in our ALD experiments [tetrakis(dimethylamido)Hf, TDMA-Hf] has been reported.

In this paper, we use DFT to obtain the energetics and kinetic barriers of the first adsorption step of the three molecular precursors used in our ALD experiments for Al₂O₃, HfO₂, and TiO₂ (TMA, TDMA-Hf, and TiCl₄, respectively) deposition onto the hydrogen- and OH-terminated Si(001)-(2×1) surface, to explain the different growth rates obtained in our experimental ALD work.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Sec. II, we briefly describe the computational methods used in our calculations, whereas in Sec. III, we describe the experimental methodology. Our main results are presented and discussed in Sec. IV, and, finally in Sec. V, we summarize our main conclusions.

II. COMPUTATIONAL METHODS

The theoretical results presented in this paper have been obtained with DFT calculations using plane wave basis sets and projector augmented wave (PAW) pseudopotentials, as implemented in the VASP code.³³,³⁴ The electronic wave functions were represented by plane wave basis sets with a cutoff energy of 500 eV. We included the exchange correlation interactions by using the semilocal Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) functional of the generalized gradient approximation.³⁵ The PAW pseudopotentials represent the effect of the core electrons. The 3p⁶ electrons of Ti were included explicitly as valence electrons in our calculations (together with 3d² and 4s²). For Hf, we also included the semicore 5p⁶ electrons in the valence states (together with 5d⁶ and 6s²). In the case of Al, we used the 3s²p⁴ valence configuration and, finally, for Cl, Si, and O atoms, we used the common 3s²p⁵, 3s²p⁶, and 2s²p⁴ valence states, respectively.

The unit cell of the ideal Si(100)-(2×1) is made of two Si atoms per layer. In our simulations, we modeled the Si(100)-(2×1) surface with a periodic slab containing six Si atomic layers, with the bottom Si layer passivated by two H atoms per Si atom, to account for the effects of the dangling bonds. As we are interested in explaining the different growth rates on a hydrogen passivated Si surface, the surface dimers are also passivated with hydrogen atoms. When corresponds, some of the surface hydrogen atoms were replaced by OH hydroxyl groups. Since VASP implements a periodic boundary conditions scheme, we introduced a vacuum region in the direction perpendicular to the slab of 15 Å of thickness, to avoid spurious interactions between replica images. We studied the initial adsorption step of the three different molecular precursors used in our experiments: TDMA-Hf, Hf[NC(CH₃)₂]₄ (for HfO₂), TMA, Al(CH₃)$_3$ (for Al₂O₃), and TiCl₄ (for TiO₂). The three molecular precursors were initially adsorbed on the dimer-reconstructed side of the slab. To make their interaction sufficiently weak, we employed a (4×4) unit cell with four dimers along the dimer row. Each Si layer comprises 16 atoms, for a total amount of 96 Si atoms in our model system. All the atoms, except the two bottom Si and hydrogen-passivating layers, were allowed to relax.

The kinetic barriers, transition states, and reaction paths were obtained using the climbing image-nudged elastic band method (CI-NEB).³⁶–³⁸ This method allows us to obtain the minimum energy path (MEP) between a set of two different states. To do that, the reaction path is divided into a set of “images” connected with a spring.” During the relaxation, the initial and final states are kept frozen while the images move according to the constraint of the “elastic band.” The MEP is then found when the components of the forces perpendicular to the “elastic band” vanish, the relative positions of the images and the barrier being determined by the parallel components of the forces.

In all cases, we used a (3 × 3 × 1) k-point mesh (that gives us ten irreducible k points) within the Monkhorst–Pack scheme to ensure a convergence of 10 meV/unit cell. We performed structural relaxations without including any type of symmetry, to a tolerance of 10⁻⁷ eV in the total energy and 0.01 eV/Å in the forces on every atom, for both standard and CI-NEB structural minimizations.

III. EXPERIMENTAL SET UP

For the experiments carried out in this work, Si (001) wafers were purchased from WRS Materials (Cz, n-type, double-side polished with a nominal resistivity of 1–5 Ω cm) and cut into squares samples of approximately 1.0 cm × 1.0 cm. SiO₂ samples were prepared by immersing the sample in a piranha solution (98% H₂SO₄:30% H₂O₂, 3:1, v/v) at 80°C for at least 30 min in order to remove hydrocarbons or metal contamination then immersing the sample into a diluted hydrofluoric acid (1:4, v/v with deionized water, DIW) for 1 min after which that removed the thermal oxide, after which, then immersing the sample into a piranha solution (98% H₂SO₄:30% H₂O₂, 3:1, v/v) at 80°C for at least 15 min and rinsing with DIW that grew chemical SiO₂. Similarly, the Si-H surface was prepared by immersing the sample in a piranha solution (98% H₂SO₄:30% H₂O₂, 3:1, v/v) at 80°C for at least 30 min then immersing the sample into a diluted hydrofluoric acid (1:4, v/v with DIW) for 1 min leaving behind a hydrogen-terminated Si(001) surface. After the samples were prepared, they were rinsed with DIW, dried with nitrogen, and loaded as quickly as possible into the ALD reactor.

The commercial ALD reactor used in this work was a hot walled Savannah 100 apparatus from Cambridge Nanotech Inc. Samples were loaded into the ALD reactor, which had a temperature of 150°C and purged for 1 h in order to fully degas the samples. ALD cycles were then performed by pulsing (TMA, TDMA-Hf, or TiCl₄) for 0.1 s, purging for...
60 s, pulsing H$_2$O for 0.05 s, then purging for 60 s in preparation for the next ALD cycle. The purge time between precursor pulses was 60 s to maximize the removal of mobile precursors (noncovalently bonded) on the surface, which could otherwise still be present during the next precursor pulse. All purges were performed with flowing Ar at a pressure of $\leq 2 \times 10^{-3}$ mbar. To study the initial nucleation, thin films of $<1$ nm were deposited on SiO$_2$ and H-terminated Si simultaneously. To achieve this, Al$_2$O$_3$ were films grown using ten cycles of TMA/H$_2$O, HfO$_2$ films were grown using ten cycles of TDMA-Hf/H$_2$O, and TiO$_2$ films were grown using 25 cycles of TiCl$_4$/H$_2$O. Meaningful comparisons between experiment and DFT trends require equal thermal energy for each experiment, to this end the substrate temperatures were kept constant for all three depositions at 150 $^\circ$C.

Following ALD, the samples were loaded into a multidetection/characterization system (base pressure $< 2 \times 10^{-11}$ mbar) described elsewhere. XPS analysis was performed using a monochromatic Al K$_\alpha$ x-ray source described previously. For spectral analysis, the curve fitting software Aanalyzer was used. Spectra were fitted with a Voigt line shape, and a Shirley background subtraction was used.

**IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**A. Results**

To explain the large precursor dependence in the degree of selectivity found for Al$_2$O$_3$, TiO$_2$, and HfO$_2$ ALD deposition, we start by considering the reaction energetics and kinetics of each metal molecular precursor chemisorption. To that end, we have carried out DFT simulations of the first adsorption step of the three molecular precursors (TDMA-Hf, TMA, and TiCl$_4$) used in our ALD experiments, for metal-oxide growth on hydrogen-passivated and OH-terminated Si(001)-(2×1) surfaces. We first obtained the minimum energy configuration of the Si surface and the gas phase of the three molecules (isolated system), and then, the initial adsorption step, where the metal atom of the molecular precursor is bonded to one Si atom of the surface, and some molecular reaction products are detached from the surface. To obtain the kinetic energy barrier of the three reactions, we performed NEB calculations along the path followed by the three molecules in their reaction with the hydrogen-passivated or OH-terminated Si(100)-(2×1) surface.

Figure 1 shows the reaction path for the first adsorption step of the TMA and TiCl$_4$ molecular precursors on the hydrogen-passivated Si(001)-(2×1) surface. The inset pictures show the transition and the final adsorption states for both molecules. Color code: small spheres represent hydrogen atoms, and Cl and C atoms surround the transition metals (Ti and Al, respectively). The arrows mark the transition states.
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**Fig. 1.** (Color online) Kinetic energy barrier for the first adsorption step of TMA and TiCl$_4$ molecular precursors (red and blue curves, respectively) on a hydrogen-passivated Si(001)-(2×1) surface. The inset pictures show the transition and the final adsorption states for both molecules. Color code: small spheres represent hydrogen atoms, and Cl and C atoms surround the transition metals (Ti and Al, respectively). The arrows mark the transition states.

The product is a Si:TiCl$_3$ bond and the loss of a HCl molecule. That small difference (0.20 eV) seems to indicate that there is a very low barrier for the readsorption of the HCl molecule and the detachment of the TiCl$_4$ precursor. These results agree relatively well with previous calculations using a cluster model (1.11 and 1.70 eV for the energy of the chemisorbed state and the kinetic barrier, respectively). 

Contrary to the TiCl$_4$ deposition onto the hydrogen-passivated Si(001)-(2×1) surface, the TMA chemisorption is slightly exothermic, $-0.47$ eV (a similar result of $-0.39$ eV was obtained using a surface cluster model and a different DFT exchange-correlation functional than the PBE functional used in our calculations). The kinetic barrier for the chemisorption is also lower, 1.36 eV. The reason for this is that small difference (0.20 eV) seems to indicate that there is a very low barrier for the readsorption of the HCl molecule and the detachment of the TiCl$_4$ precursor. These results agree relatively well with previous calculations using a cluster model (1.11 and 1.70 eV for the energy of the chemisorbed state and the kinetic barrier, respectively).
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**Fig. 2.** (Color online) Kinetic energy barrier for the first adsorption step of the TDMA-Hf molecular precursor on a hydrogen-passivated Si(001)-(2×1) surface. The inset pictures show the transition and the final adsorption states. Color code: small spheres represent hydrogen atoms. In the molecule, the N atoms link the Hf atom (at the center) to the CH$_3$ groups. The arrows mark the transition states.
The difference is twofold: First, the strong polarity of the HCl molecule (reaction product of the TiCl$_4$ deposition) makes the barrier for the H detachment much lower with respect to the final adsorption energy (0.20 eV). Second, the reaction product of the TMA deposition is the loss of a CH$_4$ molecule and the chemisorption of an Al(CH$_3$)$_2$ group, with the Al bonded to a Si surface atom. The energy gain of this reaction is noticeable, and as a consequence, there is a very high kinetic barrier (1.83 eV) for the readesorption of the methane molecule, indicating the much lower degree of selectivity of the TMA molecule, as compared to the TiCl$_4$ ALD precursor.

The ALD deposition of the TDMA-Hf molecular precursor is much more complex, especially due to the size of the molecule (37 atoms), which increases the number of degrees of freedom that need to be considered in the calculations. In this work, we have considered the initial reaction of the chemisorption of a Hf[N(CH$_3$)$_2$]$_3$ and the loss of a HN(CH$_3$)$_2$ reaction product, as shown in Fig. 2. The first consideration is that this reaction is slightly endothermic, as in the case of the TiCl$_4$ precursor but, with a much lower energy requirement, 0.84 eV, which also indicates a lower degree of selectivity.

The kinetic barrier is also lower, 1.45 eV. The reason for these differences in the exo-/endothermic character of the chemisorption process is related to the metal-Si bond distance: 2.84, 2.48, and 2.68 Å for Hf-, Al- and Ti-Si, respectively. Although the kinetic barrier and the reaction energy of the chemisorbed configuration are relatively low compared to those of the TiCl$_4$ adsorption process, the size of the molecule seems to indicate that much better adsorption rates could be obtained in the presence of small surface defects, like Si dangling bonds or surface step edges.

If we consider a previous oxygen molecular precursor ALD cycle (H$_2$O in our work), the hydrogen-passivated Si(001) surface will contain some OH surface species, providing a more chemically reactive environment for the chemisorption of the different molecular precursors. In our calculations, we have considered the dissociation of two H$_2$O molecules on two neighboring Si dimers. Thus, two Si dimers of the hydrogen-passivated Si(001) surface are now OH-terminated. For the purpose of this work (to show the early stages of TMA, TDMA-Hf, and TiCl$_4$ chemisorption),
the increase of the oxygen rate deposition (OH surface species concentration) will not affect our main conclusions.

Figure 3(a) shows the reaction path of the TMA and TiCl4 deposition on the hydrogen-passivated Si(001) surface with an OH termination in two neighboring dimers, and Fig. 4(a) shows the corresponding reaction pathway for the TDMA-Hf molecular precursor. Similarly to the hydrogen-terminated Si(001) surface, the chemisorption of the TMA releases a methane CH4 molecule and the deposition of the TiCl4 releases a polar HCl molecule. The Coulomb attraction between the molecular precursor and the negatively charged OH surface species decreases the kinetic barriers substantially, as it can be seen in Fig. 3(a). The kinetic barrier for the TMA molecule is only 0.05 eV, whereas the TiCl4 molecular precursor kinetic chemisorption barrier is slightly larger, 0.26 eV. However, the main difference lies in the reaction energies. Both pathways are exothermic, but the TMA chemisorption energy is 1.79 eV, whereas the TiCl4 reaction energy is only 0.15 eV. This means that the desorption kinetic barrier of the TiCl4 molecule (or readsorption of the released HCl) is only 0.41 eV, much lower than the 1.84 eV desorption barrier for the TMA precursor. The deposition of the TDMA-Hf molecule shows different features. As we pointed out earlier, the larger size of the molecule makes necessary to overcome a higher kinetic barrier to release an HN(CH3)2 group and form the O-Hf bond, because it has to exceed the strong steric repulsion with the surface and, as a consequence, the TDMA-Hf molecule is finally chemisorbed with a more planarlike configuration, compared to the initial three-dimensional (3-D) shape of the molecule. The Hf-O bond distance is 1.95 Å (cf. with 1.71 and 1.77 Å for Al-O and Ti-O distances, respectively). Then, our obtained kinetic barrier is the largest among the three precursors, 0.72 eV, but the reaction is again strongly endothermic [see Fig. 4(a)], 1.47 eV, indicating a much lower degree of selectivity, as compared to the TiCl4 molecular precursor.

Finally, the neighboring OH surface species make possible a ring-closing reaction, with the formation of a double O-metal-O bond and the release of additional CH4, HCl, or HN(CH3)2 molecules, for TMA, TiCl4, and TDMA-Hf chemisorption, respectively. Figures 3(b) and 4(b) show the corresponding reaction pathways for the three molecular precursors. Similar considerations are in order: the kinetic barrier for the release of another methane CH4 molecule is almost negligible, and the reaction is strongly exothermic, 1.21 eV. For the TiCl4 precursor, the kinetic barrier is substantially higher, 0.97 eV, and the reaction is slightly endothermic, 0.23 eV. The Al atom has an empty 3p orbital, which is attracted to the O2− surface charged species much stronger than the TiCl3 molecule, because of the negatively charged Cl− atoms. For the same considerations aforementioned, the kinetic barrier for the ring-closing reaction of the TDMA-Hf, with the release of another HN(CH3)2 molecule, is 0.54 eV, and the reaction is exothermic, but with a reaction energy of only 0.03 eV. The final O-metal bond distances are 1.73, 1.80, and 1.96 Å for Al, Ti, and Hf, respectively.

Figure 5 shows the Ti 2p, Al 2p, and Hf 4f core-level regions after atomic layer deposition of TiO2, Al2O3, and HfO2 on both hydrogen- and OH-terminated Si(001). It is clear that for the OH treated Si(001) surface, significant and predictable growth of all three metal oxidized is observed. However, for the hydrogen-terminated surfaces, the growth in all three cases is diminished. The high selectivity for the TiCl4/H2O system shown in Fig. 5 (left panel) has been discussed in detail by Mc Donnell et al. However, the selectivity observed for TMA/H2O and TDMA-Hf/H2O are both significantly less than the TiCl4/H2O system. Instead, the Al 2p and Hf 4f intensities for the hydrogen-terminated Si(001) surface are 38% and 75% of the those observed on OH-terminated Si(001).

B. Discussion

The adsorption mechanisms found in our DFT calculations for TiCl4, TMA, and TDMA-Hf molecular precursors on the OH- and hydrogen-terminated Si(001) surfaces clearly explain the different degree of selectivity found in our XPS measurements. Indeed, Fig. 5 shows that there is no detectable TiO2 signal in a hydrogen-terminated Si(001) surface, whereas for Al2O3 the signal in a hydrogen-terminated

![Figure 5](image-url)
Si(001) surface is 38% of the OH-terminated signal. Finally, for HfO$_2$, the rate increases up to 75%. Although the high selectivity found in our experiments for TiO$_2$ is larger than that previously reported, the trends observed are consistent with the kinetic barriers and the degree of exo-endothemicity obtained for the different deposition reactions: in a hydrogen-terminated Si(001) surface, the three molecular precursors show (relatively) high kinetic barriers (around 1.5 eV), but the TiCl$_4$ chemisorption (with the release of a HCl polar molecule) is the most endothermic reaction (1.30 eV) among all the processes studied in this work (cf. with $-0.47$ and 0.84 eV for TMA and TDMA-Hf molecular precursors, respectively). On the contrary, on an oxidized Si(001) surface, the high chemical reactivity of the oxygen lone pair significantly decreases the kinetic barriers required to release the different reaction products (HCl, CH$_4$, and HN(CH$_3$)$_2$, respectively, for TiCl$_4$, TMA, and TDMA-Hf ALD pulses) and the formation of the Si-O-metal bond. The reaction energies, however, follow the same trend as in the hydrogen-terminated Si(001) surface: strongly exothermic for TMA and TDMA-Hf molecular precursors (1.79 and 1.47 eV, respectively) and practically negligible for TiCl$_4$ (0.15 eV). The reason, again, is purely electrostatic. The Coulombic repulsion between the O$^{2-}$ and the Cl$^-$ ions of the TiCl$_4$ molecular precursor makes the ALD growth of TiO$_2$ more selective and less favorable, when compared with Al$_2$O$_3$ and HfO$_2$.

V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have investigated the energetics and the kinetic barriers of the early adsorption steps of three different molecular precursors (TiCl$_4$, TMA, and TDMA-Hf) onto the OH- and hydrogen-terminated Si(001)-(2×1) surfaces for ALD experiments of metal-oxide growth on Si surfaces. While the higher kinetic barrier and endothermic character corresponds to the chemisorption of a TiCl$_3$ group and the loss of a polar HCl molecule (TiCl$_4$ deposition), the adsorption of the AI is a very favorable process due to the loss of a methane molecule, which strongly contributes to stabilize the system. Similar considerations arise for the Hf chemisorption [and the loss of a HN(CH$_3$)$_2$ group]. These facts seem to indicate that the TiCl$_4$ molecule shows the larger degree of selectivity among all the molecular precursors studied in this work, as further confirmed by the XPS spectra obtained after the ALD growth of TiO$_2$, Al$_2$O$_3$, and HfO$_2$ high-k dielectric materials.
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